

From: [Nicole Moutoux](#)
To: [Jane Diamond](#)
Cc: [Loren Henning](#); [Nicole Moutoux](#); [David Cooper](#); [Dana Barton](#)
Subject: Re: Fw: Fwd: TASC ORequest - renewed
Date: 06/25/2010 11:40 AM
Attachments: [WG Charter.pdf](#)

I will call Christina about this next week. Craig has been the lead along with David Cooper on this issue, so I'll need to look for the previous correspondence and confer with David prior to scheduling a call. Attached is the workgroup charter.

Nicole

-----Jane Diamond/R9/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Loren Henning/R9/USEPA/US, Nicole Moutoux
 From: Jane Diamond/R9/USEPA/US
 Date: 06/24/2010 07:08AM
 Subject: Fw: Fwd: TASC ORequest - renewed

Please follow up in Mike and Craig's absence. At some point, let me know what we've said about TASC and why. Also, I'm trying to locate the CWG charter, in light of DTSC's response to the CAG request including a statement that EPA set up the CAG. I recall seeing the charter in an email recently, but wasn't able to locate it yesterday. If you have it handy, or know where I can locate it, please let me know, thanks, Jane
 Jane Diamond

From: Christina Walsh [cwalsh@cleanuprocketdyne.org]
Sent: 06/24/2010 06:52 AM MST
To: Craig Cooper
Cc: Abe Weitzberg <FX-6 Personal Privacy>; Chris Rowe <crwhnc@gmail.com>; Michael Montgomery; Jane Diamond; Elizabeth Crawford <FX-6 Personal Privacy>; William bowling <williamprestonbowling@yahoo.com>; David Roper <daveroper@toxidyne.org>; Kiillkkaa Design Studio <kiillkkaadesign@yahoo.com>; Tracy Adams <FX-6 Personal Privacy>; sharons@socalstudio.org; D'Lanie Blaze <dblaze@jailhousegraphics.com>; Mary Weisbrock <FX-6 Personal Privacy>; Mark Perryman <FX-6 Personal Privacy.com>; Michael Walsh <Michael.Walsh@sdma.com>; Mike Austin <Mike@BluePlanetAlmanac.com>; Adam Salkin <FX-6 Personal Privacy>; Janna Orkney <FX-6 Personal Privacy>; "Shawna L. Swanberg, PhD" <FX-6 Personal Privacy>; <FX-6 Personal Privacy>; billie.greer@gov.ca.gov
Subject: Fwd: TASC Request - renewed

Still haven't heard from you other than a generic, "we are not accepting applications at this time" response to my written TASC request despite the discussion to have a scheduled phone conversation about this (below) that never happened. I also received no response to fact that I was pressured to withdraw my original request as shown by the documents I sent you related to EPA assuring Mr. Hirsch that requests from our community "will never go anywhere".

I am also aware of two other people who have made this request to EPA, so I must ask the reasoning behind ignoring multiple requests from this community in favor of one person who doesn't live in the community? I do not accept this notion that we should just sit back and wait as Mr. Hirsch who lives hundreds of miles away, makes decisions for our community. I also do not accept Mr. Hirsch as my representative or that of our community and do not feel his expertise and efforts are consistent with the objective of clean-up, as his recent efforts have been to stop and delay work from moving forward.

For this reason, I would like to schedule this conversation (as offered below) to be via webex phone

conference so that the others can be included in that conversation. Using webex allows for both conference only, as well as with the online meeting features and we believe this discussion needs to be documented. Please let me know what date and time next week you will be available and I will set up. Thank you and I look forward to having this conversation with you at your earliest convenience. Since I am leaving for Europe on the 5th of July, I request that this call be scheduled for a time prior to my leaving.

Thanks again,

Christina

<https://ssflcag.webex.com>

cleanuprocketdyne.org

8189225123

Begin forwarded message:

From: Christina Walsh <cwalsh@cleanuprocketdyne.org>

Date: May 20, 2010 2:49:40 PM PDT

To: Cooper.Craig@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Re: TASC Request - renewed

I actually have a meeting with Gerard of DTSC at 11, which just got scheduled today. Perhaps we can push it a bit earlier, or after 12?

Thanks

Christina

On May 20, 2010, at 2:31 PM, Cooper.Craig@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

How about tomorrow (Friday)? How about I call you at 11am?

=====

Craig Cooper
Superfund Project Manager
U.S. EPA Region 9
(415) 947-4148 (ph)
(415) 947-3520 (fax)

From: Christina Walsh <cwalsh@cleanuprocketdyne.org>

To: Craig Cooper/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 05/20/2010 10:14 AM

Subject: Re: TASC Request - renewed

this afternoon or tomorrow is fine.

Thanks

Christina

On May 19, 2010, at 11:10 AM,
Cooper.Craig@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

Christina -

When is a good time for me to call you? We can discuss TASC (per your email below) and your email about getting everyone's comments on the HSA 5C memo and Draft Water Testing SAP. I am free all day today, anytime tomorrow except 10am to noon and any time on Friday.

Thanks,

Craig

=====
Craig Cooper
Superfund Project Manager
U.S. EPA Region 9
(415) 947-4148 (ph)
(415) 947-3520 (fax)

From: cwalsh@cleanuprocketdyne.org
To: Craig Cooper/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 05/13/2010 09:21 AM
Subject: TASC Request - renewed

Hi Craig,
Thanks for the meeting last night. I found it very informative. It seems to me that the community is really in need of independent review and analysis to help them understand what the issues are moving forward. With the very complicated statistical issues related to the data as it comes in for the survey and background studies, it will be even more important to have independent expertise to help provide analysis and summary review to the community.
I hereby renew my request for TASC Program Assistance for the Santa Susana Field Laboratory investigation and clean-up work being done under CERCLA.

I was pressured to withdraw my request last year and in reviewing this very troubling document [attached] where it appears that EPA was also pressured to not provide this program, I feel that renewal my request for the TASC program is needed now. I appreciate any assistance you can offer in order to begin the TASC process once again so that we may utilize these tools to better understand the complex data validation, statistical analysis of the very important sampling program led by EPA so interpretation of the data can be understood by the community.

Please let me know when we can discuss this in more detail. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,
Christina Walsh
Founder/Director
cleanuprocketdyne.org

FX-6 Personal Privacy

Craig Cooper/R9/USEPA/US]

9/27/90

Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL)
Work Group Charter

A. SSFL Work Group - Definition

The SSFL Work Group is an ad hoc affiliation of federal, state and local regulatory agencies with regulatory jurisdiction or oversight responsibilities for the SSFL site, and five representatives of the community. The SSFL Work Group meets regularly to share information regarding the environmental issues related to the SSFL site.

The SSFL Work Group is not a decision-making body or an advisory committee. Membership on the SSFL Work Group does not affect any agency's regulatory jurisdiction or responsibilities, nor does it extend the regulatory or decision-making authority of any member.

B. Membership

The regulatory agencies represented on the SSFL Work Group include:

- 1) California Department of Health Services,
Toxics Substances Control Program
- 2) California Department of Health Services,
Environmental Management Branch
- 3) California Department of Health Services,
Environmental Epidemiology and Toxicology
Branch
- 4) California Department of Health Services,
Radiological Health Branch
- 5) Regional Water Quality Control Board,
Los Angeles Region
- 6) Ventura County,
Air Pollution Control District
- 7) Ventura County,
Environmental Health Division
- 8) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- 9) U.S. Department of Energy,
San Francisco Operations Office

The five members that were chosen to represent the community are:

- 1) Dan Hirsch
- 2) Barbara Johnson
- 3) Sheldon Plotkin
- 4) Jerome Raskin
- 5) Ann Rock

C. Objectives

The objectives of the SSFL Work Group are to:

- 1) Facilitate the exchange of information among the regulatory agencies and between the regulatory agencies and the community regarding the SSFL site;
- 2) Coordinate regulatory agencies' activities as necessary; and
- 3) Allow the public an opportunity to receive information, ask questions and express concerns to Rockwell and the regulatory agencies.

D. Rules for the Meetings

- 1) EPA serves as the chair of the SSFL Work Group.
- 2) All SSFL Work Group meetings will be conducted in public.
- 3) The Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) does not apply to the SSFL Work Group since the regulatory agencies do not solicit consensus advice or recommendations from the five community representatives as a group.
- 4) The SSFL Work Group members do not vote on decisions or recommendations. All the Work Group members address comments and recommendations to the other members on an individual basis.
- 5) The SSFL Work Group meetings are held quarterly until June 30, 1991. At that time, the Work Group expires, unless a decision is made to

continue the Work Group meetings. Regardless of the existence of the Work Group, each agency will continue to fulfill its regulatory and oversight responsibilities.

- 6) The SSFL Work Group actively solicits input from the general public during the meetings.